

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. [SBN: 249203]
ak@kazlg.com
245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (800) 400-6808
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

BANKRUPTCY LAW CENTER, APC
Ahren A. Tiller, Esq. [SBN 250608]
ahren.tiller@blc-sd.com
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 894-8831
Facsimile: (866) 444-7026

HYDE & SWIGART
Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. [SBN: 225557]
2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 233-7770
Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**JASON DAVID BODIE,
Individually and On Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,**

vs.

LYFT, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO: 3:16-cv-02558-L-NLS

CLASS ACTION

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
FOR DAMAGES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
PURSUANT TO THE
TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. §
227 ET. SEQ.**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 1. JASON DAVID BODIE (“Plaintiff”) brings this class action complaint for
3 damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies,
4 resulting from the illegal actions of LYFT, INC. (“Defendant” or “Lyft”) and its
5 related entities, subsidiaries and agents, in negligently, knowingly, and/or
6 willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff’s cellular telephone, in violation of the
7 Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, (“TCPA”),
8 thereby invading Plaintiff’s privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal
9 knowledge as to himself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other
10 matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his
11 attorneys.

12 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 13 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of
14 violations of federal law. 47 U.S.C. §227(b); *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*,
15 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).
- 16 3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of
17 California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Plaintiff resides in this
18 district and Defendant conducts business in the County of San Diego.

19 **PARTIES**

- 20 4. Plaintiff is an individual citizen and resident of the State of California, County
21 of San Diego.
- 22 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that LYFT, INC. is, and
23 at all times mentioned herein was, a Delaware corporation whose principal place
24 of business is located in San Francisco, California.
- 25 6. Lyft is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a “person,” as
26 defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 27 7. Upon information and belief, Lyft is a transportation networking company that
28

1 provides a mobile application to enable connecting passengers with drivers using
2 personal vehicles.

3 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant
4 Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of
5 San Diego.

6 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7 9. On or about October 10, 2016 at approximately 2:25 pm PST, Plaintiff received
8 two text messages, one immediately after the other, from telephone number 415-
9 408-5865, which belongs to or was used by Lyft or an agent of Lyft, Inc.

10 10. The first of the two text messages sent to Plaintiff instructed him to download
11 the Lyft App to his cellular phone, stating, "Download the Lyft app".

12 11. The second unsolicited text message contained a link to download Lyft's app in
13 the Apple App Store, stating, "lyft.com".

14 12. None of these text messages were addressed to Plaintiff by name.

15 13. Upon information and belief, the text messages were sent at the direction of
16 Lyft, Inc. to Plaintiff and several hundreds of other individuals within a short
17 period of time.

18 14. Upon information and belief, the text messages were sent via a commercial text
19 messaging system by an agent or vendor hired by Lyft who was acting under the
20 direction and control of Lyft for the financial benefit of Lyft.

21 15. Upon information and belief, Lyft instructed its agent or vendor as to the content
22 of the text messages and timing of the sending of the text messages, in an effort
23 to increase use of Lyft's mobile application.

24 16. Lyft is vicarious liable for the telemarketing conduct of its agent or vendor
25 alleged herein.

26 17. Upon information and belief, the SMS text messages were sent using equipment
27 that had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a
28

1 random or sequential number generator, and to dial such numbers, and was
2 therefore an automatic telephone dialing system (“ATDS”) as defined by 47
3 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).

4 18. Upon information and belief, the SMS text messages were sent using equipment
5 that can send a text message to cellular telephone numbers stored as a list or
6 database without human intervention.

7 19. Upon information and belief, the SMS text messages were sent using equipment
8 that has the capacity to automatically send text messages to telephone numbers
9 generated randomly or sequentially, for marketing purposes.

10 20. The SMS text messages constituted a “telephone solicitation” within the
11 meaning of 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4) in that they were initiated for the purpose of
12 encouraging the purchase of a good or service.

13 21. Plaintiff did not provide prior express written consent allowing Defendant, or
14 anyone acting on behalf of Defendant, to send these SMS text messages to
15 Plaintiff’s cellular telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).

16 22. Plaintiff did not have an established business relationship with Defendant at the
17 time of these text messages.

18 23. Through Defendant’s aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of
19 a legally protected interest in privacy, which is specifically addressed and
20 protected by the TCPA.

21 24. Plaintiff was personally affected by Defendant’s aforementioned conduct
22 because Plaintiff was frustrated and distressed that, Defendant interrupted
23 Plaintiff with an unwanted solicitation text message using an ATDS.

24 25. Defendant’s text messages forced Plaintiff and other similarly situated class
25 members to live without the utility of their cellular phones by occupying their
26 cellular telephone with one or more unwanted calls, causing a nuisance and lost
27 time.

28

1 26. Defendant's text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number was
2 unsolicited by Plaintiff and without Plaintiff's permission.

3 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes and here upon alleges, that the text messages
4 were sent by Defendant and/or Defendant's agent(s), with Defendant's
5 permission, knowledge, control and for Defendant's economic benefit.

6 28. These SMS text messages made by Defendant or its agents were sent in
7 violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

8
9 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

10 29. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated
11 (the "Class").

12 30. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, the Class, consisting of:

13 All persons within the United States who received any
14 text messages from Defendant or its agent/s and/or
15 employee/s to said person's cellular telephone made
16 through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system
17 within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint.

18 31. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff
19 does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class
20 members number in the several thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should
21 be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

22 32. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at
23 least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class
24 members via their cellular telephones, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class
25 members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone
26 time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading
27 the privacy of Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members
28

1 were damaged thereby.

2 33. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic
3 injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any
4 recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the
5 right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional
6 persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.

7 34. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their
8 claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and
9 to the court.

10 35. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact
11 involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to
12 the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class
13 members, including the following:

- 14 a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint,
15 Defendant sent any text messages (other than a call made for
16 emergency purposes or made with the prior express written consent of
17 the called party) to Class members using any automatic telephone
18 dialing system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular
19 telephone service;
- 20 b. Whether the text messages were sent for marketing or solicitation
21 purposes, such that they require prior express written consent;
- 22 c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and
23 the extent of damages for such violation; and
- 24 d. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct
25 in the future.

26 36. As a person that received a SMS text message using an automatic telephone
27 dialing system, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting
28

1 claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent
2 and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic
3 to any member of the Class.

4 37. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a
5 result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the
6 Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these
7 violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will
8 likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class
9 member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress
10 for the wrongs complained of herein.

11 38. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and
12 claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

13 39. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this
14 controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply
15 with the law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the
16 prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum
17 statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal.
18 Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties
19 than those presented in many class claims.

20 40. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby
21 making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief
22 with respect to the Class as a whole.

23 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER**
25 **PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

26 41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs as though fully
27 stated herein.
28

42.The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227. *et seq.*

43.As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

44.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

45.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs as though fully stated herein.

46.The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*

47.As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*, Plaintiff and each member of the Class are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

48.Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and the Class members the following relief against Defendant:

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF
THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks for himself and the Class injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future;
- Costs of suit;
- Plaintiff be certified Class Representative and counsel for Plaintiff be certified Class Counsel;
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL
VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), Plaintiff seeks for himself and the Class injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future;
- Costs of suit;
- Plaintiff be certified Class Representative and counsel for Plaintiff be certified Class Counsel;
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

///

///

///

TRIAL BY JURY

49. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Dated: May 15, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: /s/ Abbas Kazerounian
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff